STANDARD FORM NO. 64 WINDTANIANIA • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT lease instructions On File* Declassification/Release Instructions on File DATE: 19 October 1954 FROM: 25X1A9a 25X1A Army Psychological Warfare Officers Course; Interview with Commander SUBJECT: REFERENCE: Memo dtd 20 September 1954, subject: Army Psychological Warfare Officers Course 1. In further pursuance to par. 8 of referenced memorandum, I interviewed on 14 October 25X1A9a at present on duty with this Agency, assigned to PP/C (ext. 8483, 2036 L Bldg.). participated in subject course from April 25X1A9a to June 1954. This class consisted of 16 students, 11 of whom were army officers, 2 naval officers, one marine corps officer, and 2 USIA civilian employees. The average attendance of a class is between 25 and 30 students. The course is given 3 or 4 times per year. 3. There was no entrance examination. Only about half of the officers participating had definite PW assignments. The Navy has no PW training of its own because it has such a small PW staff setup. In view of this fact Navy is allowed a certain quota of students in each army course, which quota, however, is not always own attendance had been arranged for 25X1A9a through the Military Personnel Section of the Agency while he was awaiting completion of his TOP SECRET clearance for work here. 4. The course has a resident instructing staff of approximately 20, including both enlisted men and officers. Most staff members are college graduates, quite a few PHD's, primarily in psychology and social sciences. Approximately 80 percent of the lectures are given by officers. The enlisted men are primarily assigned to research tasks, to the preparation of lectures and for practical demonstrations. 25X1A9a was very favorably impressed by the high standards, the intellectual level and the hard work of the staff. The time of the course was approximately divided as follows: Document No. 00 NO CHANGE in CARSS. 75 percent lectures ☐ DECLASSIFIED 10 percent seminars 15 percent practical demonstrations Class. CHANCED TO: DDA Memo, 4 Apr 77 DDA REG. 77/1763 Date: 04 Appmoved/For∂Rele∎se 2001/08/27 : CIA-R

The main subjects of the lectures were listed in referenced memorandum. The seminars were partly devoted to further discussion of the topics treated in the lectures and partly to special problems. In one case, for instance, the class had to simulate a UN meeting with every student playing the role of the delegate of a certain foreign country and having to defend the policies and interests of that country. Practical demonstrations included leaflet drops from airplanes, use of loud speakers on tanks, surrender propaganda at a road block, firing of leaflet shells by artillery, etc.

- 6. Every week a written examination of one hour was given: this included both the checking of correct answers to specific questions and the writing of short essays on one of the subjects taught during that week. There was also a 1 hour oral critique every week. Once during the course every student had to prepare a paper of approximately 20 pages: he could choose the topic from a list of approximately 50 different topics or he could request permission to write on a topic of his own choice. For the preparation of these papers as well as supplement the lectures, students were given considerable reading time in the well-equipped, special reference library of the course, including both overt and classified reading material. Students could also take books out of the library and read in the barracks after library hours.
- 7. The class was divided in groups of 4 students each for such purposes as writing leaflets and radio scripts, solving tactical PW problems, etc. There was also competition between these groups as to the best solution for a given assignment.
 - 8. offered the following comments on the course:

25X1A9a

In his opinion it is too strictly adapted to specific army needs so that he doubts the value of this training even for the Navy (whose FW requirements obviously are more similar to that of the Army than the requirements of the Agency). He also criticized that too much emphasis was put on past experiences and no solutions were offered to the tactical problems which the Army must expect to face in the next war, for instance, tactical PW in atomic warfare. does not believe that the course offers sufficient general knowledge on PW and related matters to justify regular attendance by CIA personnel. However, in view of the fact that he has been with us only a few months and has not had any operational experience, he suggested that a final decision as to the possible value of subject course for our training purposes should be made after a senior, experienced PP officer has gone through the course. In any case, he believes that the course might be useful for those of our officers who will have to work in the field in close cooperation with the Army. He mentioned, incidentally, that he is convinced that our training effort at in every respect far superior to anything Fort Bragg can offer.

25X1A9a

25X1A6a

Approved For Release 2001/08/27 : CIA ROPA PRO 17 A00 020 060020-3

25X1A9a

9. Conclusions and Recommendations: in view of the above, I can only suggest that the question as to whether or not to send one or two qualified PP officers to subject course for an additional "test," be submitted to C/PP for his decision and appropriate action.

Struck me as a competent and unbiased witness and attendance of subject course appears, therefore, not to offer any over-all solution to our training problems in the PW field—except for personnel assigned to tactical PP missions in areas where a military or paramilitary situation is to be expected.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

cc: C/STD C/PRS

